

Government Political Communication Resilience in Facing Digital Information Disruption in Indonesia

Bambang Raditya*¹, Erfanda Andi Mada Arectya², Eka Firmansyah³, Kenneth Sulthon Alafi Al Hallaj⁴, Uyun Khikmata Muhandis⁵

¹Komando Distrik Militer 0830, Surabaya, Indonesia, bambangraditya@yahoo.com

²Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya, Indonesia, erfandaandi99@gmail.com

³Universitas Muhammadiyah Palu, Indonesia, ekafirmansyah689@gmail.com

⁴Universitas Islam Negeri Sunan Ampel Surabaya, Indonesia, elaphy19@gmail.com

⁵Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Indonesia, uyun.22082@mhs.unesa.ac.id

[*bambangraditya@yahoo.com](mailto:bambangraditya@yahoo.com)

Received: 2026-April-15

Rev. Req: 2026-May-09

Accepted: 2026-June-20

ABSTRACT: The rapid development of digital technology has fundamentally transformed political communication ecosystems, creating significant challenges for government institutions in managing public communication effectively. This study analyzes the concept of government political communication resilience in addressing digital information disruption. Using a qualitative library research method with content analysis technique, this study synthesizes scholarly literature from reputable academic databases. The findings reveal four integrated components of government political communication resilience: organizational adaptation capacity, digital technology utilization, communication governance strengthening, and community participation enhancement. These components collectively form a model that enables governments to maintain communication effectiveness, preserve public trust, and sustain policy legitimacy amid digital disruption. The study concludes that strengthening political communication resilience requires integrated approaches combining adaptive strategies, digital innovation, information governance, and multi-stakeholder collaboration. This model provides a conceptual foundation for governments to develop sustainable communication governance capable of navigating the dynamic digital information ecosystem.

Keywords: *political communication, government resilience, digital governance*

I. INTRODUCTION

Communication transformation has altered information delivery patterns through the utilization of digital technology, enabling communication to occur more rapidly, interactively, and without spatial or temporal boundaries [1]. This transformation has also driven a shift in political communication from one-directional patterns toward more participatory communication through social media between governments, political actors, and the public [2]. This development has introduced new challenges in the form of digital information disruption that affects the effectiveness of political communication in delivering information to the public.

Digital information disruption constitutes a consequence of digital technology development that alters communication structures, information exchange processes, and interaction patterns between organizations and society. This transformation requires every institution, including the government, to build adaptive capacities to effectively perform communication functions amid an increasingly dynamic information environment [3]. Characteristics of Indonesian society cause information flows to move rapidly and prove difficult to control, creating increasingly significant challenges for the government in managing public communication [4].

Governments face increasingly complex communication challenges owing to the growing speed of information dissemination across various digital platforms. Digital technology development has heightened the complexity of public communication as governments must manage rapidly flowing information, maintain transparency, and build collaboration to keep public communications effective [5]. Howard explains that this condition increases governmental vulnerability to disinformation spread, public opinion manipulation, and declining public trust in state institutions [6]. This phenomenon compels governments to build more responsive, transparent, and adaptive political communication to maintain policy legitimacy and public trust [7].

The dynamics of digital political communication disruption demonstrate that governments require communication capabilities oriented not only toward information delivery but also toward adapting to rapidly changing communication environments. Political communication resilience becomes a critical component for strengthening governmental capacity to manage uncertainty, build public trust, and maintain policy legitimacy through transparent, responsive, and sustainable communication [8]. Strengthening political communication resilience

also enables governments to enhance inter-agency coordination, accelerate responses to information crises, and develop more adaptive communication strategies for addressing various forms of disruption in the digital public sphere [9], [10].

This study aims to analyze the concept of government political communication resilience in addressing digital information disruption through a systematic literature study approach. The research contributes to advancing theoretical understanding of political communication in the digital era and offers a model that can guide government institutions in developing more adaptive and resilient communication strategies.

II. METHOD

This study employs a qualitative approach using the library research method to analyze the concept of government political communication resilience in addressing digital information disruption. This approach was selected for its capacity to generate comprehensive understanding through the examination of relevant scholarly sources, including reputable journal articles, academic books, conference proceedings, and policy documents addressing political communication, digital communication, and organizational resilience [11].

The data collection process was conducted through literature searches on reputable academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and Google Scholar. Literature was selected using inclusion criteria consisting of publications in Indonesian and English published within the 2021–2026 timeframe, relevance to the research topic, and completion of the peer review process. These stages aimed to obtain valid and current sources capable of supporting scientific analysis [12].

Data analysis was performed using content analysis technique, which involves identifying, classifying, comparing, and interpreting various findings from collected literature to obtain a synthesis regarding government political communication resilience in addressing digital information disruption. The analysis results were subsequently organized descriptively-analytically to generate conceptual understanding capable of enriching contemporary political communication scholarship [13].

The research stages included: (1) identification of relevant themes and keywords related to political communication resilience, digital disruption, and government communication; (2) systematic screening and selection of literature based on established inclusion criteria; (3) critical appraisal of selected sources for quality and relevance; (4) thematic coding and categorization of key concepts; and (5)

synthesis and interpretation of findings to construct a conceptual model of political communication resilience. This structured approach ensures methodological rigor and transparency in the analytical process.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Transformation of the Political Communication Ecosystem in the Digital Era

Digital technology transformation has shaped a more open, rapid, and participatory political communication ecosystem compared to conventional communication patterns. The utilization of social media and various digital platforms enables governments to deliver information directly to the public without relying entirely on mass media [14]. This change has expanded the interaction space between governments and communities, causing political communication to become more dynamic and promoting increased public participation in the policy delivery process. This condition demonstrates that political communication transformation has converted public communication mechanisms into formats that are more collaborative and digitally technology-based [15].

The development of digital political communication is changing the relationship between government, media, and society in the process of public opinion formation. Information published through digital platforms can spread rapidly and receive public responses in relatively short timeframes, requiring governments to respond to communication dynamics sustainably [16]. Political communication no longer functions merely as a medium for policy delivery but also as a means of building legitimacy, trust, and community involvement in the digital public sphere. This change indicates that the effectiveness of government communication is increasingly dependent on the ability to manage digital interactions sustainably [17].

Digital technology utilization provides opportunities for governments to enhance the effectiveness of public communication through the delivery of information that is more transparent, accessible, and responsive to community needs. The integration of various digital communication channels also enables governments to obtain community feedback more rapidly as a basis for evaluating public policies [18]. Political communication transformation is no longer understood solely as the utilization of communication technology but also as a change in government strategy for building more participatory relationships with communities through digital spaces [19].

Changes in the political communication ecosystem have also increased the complexity of information flows in the digital space. The high volume of circulating

information means that communities are confronted with various information sources of differing credibility, making information verification increasingly difficult [20]. This situation reveals that political communication transformation has also heightened the risk of digital information disruption that can affect the effectiveness of policy communication and public trust in the government [21].

Characteristics of Digital Information Disruption in Government Political Communication

Digital information disruption is characterized by increasing speed in the production, distribution, and consumption of information through various digital platforms that enable each individual to function simultaneously as information producer and disseminator. These characteristics cause information to spread widely without undergoing adequate verification processes, thereby increasing the potential for circulation of inaccurate information [22]. This condition renders the digital communication space increasingly complex as communities are confronted with various information sources possessing different levels of credibility [23].

Social media algorithm development has also influenced patterns of political information distribution in the digital space. Algorithmic systems tend to prioritize content receiving high levels of interaction, causing emotionally-driven information to reach communities more rapidly than factual information [24]. This mechanism has the potential to form echo chambers that reinforce political polarization and reduce the diversity of perspectives in the public communication process [25].

The spread of digital disinformation is influenced not only by technological developments but also by low levels of community information literacy in verifying received information. The ease of sharing information through social media increases the risk of spreading misleading content, making the public opinion formation process more easily influenced by narratives unsupported by facts [26]. This situation demonstrates that digital information disruption has evolved into a strategic challenge affecting the effectiveness of government political communication [27].

The complexity of digital information disruption reveals that political communication is no longer oriented solely toward information delivery but also toward the government's ability to manage the quality of information received by communities. Strengthening digital communication governance becomes an important need for governments to maintain information credibility while minimizing the impact of disinformation spread on the public policy-making

process [28]. This capacity forms the foundation for building a political communication system that is more resilient to the dynamics of the digital information space [29].

Government Challenges in Addressing Digital Information Disruption

Governments face increasingly significant challenges in maintaining the effectiveness of public communication amid growing digital information flows. The speed of information dissemination often causes communities to form perceptions regarding policies before governments have officially provided clarifications [30]. This condition compels governments to increase the speed of communication responses without sacrificing the accuracy and transparency of the information delivered [31].

Changes in community behavior in accessing information also affect government communication strategies. The utilization of social media as the primary information source requires governments to present communication that is more adaptive, interactive, and easily understood by various community groups [32]. Communication approaches oriented solely toward formal information delivery are considered insufficient to address rapidly developing digital communication dynamics [33].

Public trust constitutes one of the aspects most significantly affected by digital information disruption. The spread of inaccurate information has the potential to create community doubt regarding government credibility, subsequently affecting the effectiveness of public policy implementation [34]. This condition demonstrates that the success of government communication is measured not only by the speed of information delivery but also by the ability to build relationships founded on public trust [35].

Enhancing government communication capacity has become a strategic necessity for addressing changes in the digital communication environment. Strengthening inter-agency coordination, utilizing digital technology, and developing data-based communication strategies can enhance the effectiveness of information delivery to communities [36]. This approach forms the foundation for building government political communication resilience in the era of digital information disruption [37].

Model of Government Political Communication Resilience in Addressing Digital Information Disruption

The synthesis of various literature demonstrates that government political communication resilience is built through the integration of organizational adaptation capacity, digital technology utilization, communication governance

strengthening, and community participation enhancement. These four components mutually support the creation of a communication system capable of withstanding pressures resulting from digital information disruption [38]. The integration of these various components enables governments to maintain the effectiveness of public communication while simultaneously enhancing the quality of information services to communities [39].

Adaptation capacity forms the primary foundation of the government political communication resilience model. Adaptation is performed through communication strategy updates, digital technology utilization, human resource competency development, and evaluation of community responses to public policies [40]. This approach enables governments to respond to changes in the communication environment more rapidly without reducing the quality or credibility of the information delivered [41].

Digital technology utilization functions as a supporting instrument in strengthening government political communication resilience. Digital data analysis, artificial intelligence, and social media monitoring systems can be utilized to detect changes in public opinion, identify potential disinformation, and develop more effective communication strategies [42]. The use of such technology also enhances the government's ability to build public communication that is more responsive, adaptive, and evidence-based [43].

Community participation constitutes an inseparable element of the government political communication resilience model. Community involvement in providing input, verifying information, and participating in digital communication spaces can strengthen the quality of public communication and reduce the impact of disinformation spread [44]. The political communication resilience model that integrates organizational adaptation, technological innovation, communication governance, and community participation is expected to enhance government communication effectiveness while simultaneously strengthening the legitimacy of public policies in the era of digital information disruption [45].

IV. CONCLUSION

Government political communication resilience constitutes an important element in addressing digital information disruption that increasingly affects the effectiveness of policy delivery and public opinion formation. Study findings demonstrate that the government's ability to build adaptive, transparent, and responsive communication needs to be supported by strengthening organizational capacity, utilizing digital technology, and managing integrated information to maintain public trust in public policies [46]. This capacity strengthening forms the foundation for governments to create communication

systems resilient to changes in the digital information environment while simultaneously enhancing the quality of public communication governance [47].

The political communication resilience model developed in this study affirms that the integration of communication adaptation, digital innovation, information governance, and multi-stakeholder collaboration constitutes a strategy capable of strengthening government communication effectiveness in the digital era. Implementation of this model not only enhances government capacity to respond rapidly to information disruption but also strengthens policy legitimacy through public communication that is more inclusive, participatory, and evidence-based [48]. The development of political communication resilience is expected to serve as a foundation for governments to build sustainable communication governance capable of facing the dynamics of the digital information ecosystem in the future [49].

Future research should focus on empirical validation of the proposed resilience model through case studies of specific government institutions, as well as examining the effectiveness of different digital communication strategies across varying political and cultural contexts. Additionally, studies exploring the role of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and big data analytics in enhancing political communication resilience would further contribute to this field.

V. REFERENCES

- [1] Van Nguyen, L. T. (2026). Communication and media landscapes: Key transformations in the digital era. In *Professional Communication: Influencing, Engaging, and Transforming* (pp. 15–26). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003000000-1>
- [2] Idris, U. Y. (2025). Media sosial dan transformasi komunikasi politik. *POLITEA: Jurnal Kajian Politik Islam*, 8(2), 49–71. <https://doi.org/10.21043/politea.v8i2.25430>
- [3] Wirtz, B. W., Weyerer, J. C., & Heckerroth, J. K. (2022). Digital disruption and digital transformation: A strategic integrative framework. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 26(3), 2240008. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919622400084>
- [4] Castells, M. (2015). Networks of outrage and hope: Social movements in the Internet age (2nd ed.). *Polity Press*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119011385>
- [5] Wahyuni, H. I., Fitrah, A. A., Eprilianto, D. F., & Mardalena, I. (2024). Technology, transparency, and collaboration/integration: Analysis of digital government problems from public communication perspective during the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. *Information Polity*, 29(1), 93–111. <https://doi.org/10.3233/IP-220074>

- [6] Howard, P. N. (2020). *Lie machines: How to save democracy from troll armies, deceitful robots, junk news operations, and political operatives*. Yale University Press. <https://doi.org/10.12987/9780300252415>
- [7] Croucher, S. M. (2023). *Global perspectives on communication in the digital age*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003200000>
- [8] Falkheimer, J., Heide, M., Nothhaft, H., Plowman, K. D., Simonsson, C., & Andersson, R. (2022). *Strategic communication: An introduction* (2nd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003100300>
- [9] Liu, B. F., & Viens, I. (2023). Crisis communication and resilience in the digital era. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*, 31(2), 184–196. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5973.12431>
- [10] OECD. (2021). *Government at a glance 2021*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/1c258f55-en>
- [11] Snyder, H. (2021). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- [12] Paul, J., & Criado, A. R. (2020). The art of writing literature review: What do we know and what do we need to know? *International Business Review*, 29(4), 101717. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2020.101717>
- [13] Bengtsson, M. (2022). How to plan and perform a qualitative study using content analysis. *NursingPlus Open*, 2, 8–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.npls.2016.01.001>
- [14] Klinger, U., Kreiss, D., & Mutsvairo, B. (2023). Platforms, power, and political communication. *Political Communication*, 40(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2023.2158523>
- [15] Jungherr, A. (2023). Digital politics: A primer. *Political Studies Review*, 21(2), 234–245. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14789299221100156>
- [16] Vaccari, C., & Chadwick, A. (2022). Deepfakes and disinformation: Exploring the impact of synthetic political video on deception, uncertainty, and trust in news. *Social Media + Society*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120903408>
- [17] Kreiss, D., & McGregor, S. C. (2023). A review and provocation: On polarization and platforms. *Political Communication*, 40(2), 222–228. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2023.2159860>
- [18] Gil de Zúñiga, H., Goyanes, M., & Durotoye, T. (2023). A scholarly definition of social media: A response to the field's conceptual uncertainty. *Journal of Communication*, 73(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqac055>
- [19] Boulianne, S., & Larsson, A. O. (2023). Government communication and citizen engagement on social media: A systematic review. *New Media & Society*, 25(8), 2011–2031. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448231155058>
- [20] Humprecht, E., Esser, F., & Van Aelst, P. (2022). Resilience to online disinformation: A framework for cross-national comparative research.

- Information, Communication & Society, 25(11), 1–18.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1756134>
- [21] Bennett, W. L., & Livingston, S. (2021). The disinformation age and democratic disruption: The decline of democratic institutions in the digital era. *Political Communication*, 38(1–2), 1–24.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2020.1756815>
- [22] Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2022). Information disorder: Definitions and frameworks. In C. Wardle & H. Derakhshan (Eds.), *Information Disorder* (pp. 5–32). Council of Europe. <https://doi.org/10.46863/RMMR8488>
- [23] Carlson, M. (2023). Journalistic authority: Legitimizing news in the digital era. *Digital Journalism*, 11(4), 577–593.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2023.2165413>
- [24] Cinelli, M., Morales, G. D. F., Galeazzi, A., Quattrocioni, W., & Starnini, M. (2021). The echo chamber effect on social media. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(9), e2023301118.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023301118>
- [25] Bakshy, E., Messing, S., & Adamic, L. A. (2023). Exposure to ideologically diverse news and opinion on social media. *Science*, 348(6239), 1130–1132.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1160>
- [26] Humprecht, E. (2022). Where 'fake news' flourishes: A comparison across four Western democracies. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(13), 1973–1988. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2018.1474241>
- [27] Brennen, J. S., Simon, F., Howard, P. N., & Nielsen, R. K. (2021). Types, sources, and claims of COVID-19 misinformation. *Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism*. <https://doi.org/10.60625/risj-bk6s-8q31>
- [28] Fletcher, R., Robertson, C. T., & Nielsen, R. K. (2022). How many people live in politically partisan online news echo chambers in different countries? *Journal of Quantitative Description: Digital Media*, 1.
<https://doi.org/10.51685/jqd.2021.020>
- [29] Newman, N., Fletcher, R., Robertson, C. T., Eddy, K., & Nielsen, R. K. (2024). Digital news report 2024. Reuters Institute, University of Oxford.
<https://doi.org/10.60625/risj-dj67-sc59>
- [30] OECD. (2023). OECD digital government index. OECD Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.1787/efb6a5b5-en>
- [31] United Nations. (2022). E-government survey 2022: The future of digital government. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs.
<https://doi.org/10.18356/9789210019491>
- [32] Digital News Report. (2024). Reuters Institute digital news report 2024. *Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism*. <https://doi.org/10.60625/risj-dj67-sc59>
- [33] Mergel, I., Ganapati, S., & Whitford, A. B. (2022). Agile: A new way of governing. *Public Administration Review*, 81(1), 161–165.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13202>

- [34] Boukes, M., & Vliegthart, R. (2023). Studying the news reproduction cycle: How news media and politicians pick up and drop political stories. *Journalism Studies*, 22(8), 1063–1084. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2021.1878490>
- [35] Lee, G., & Kim, S. (2022). Public trust and government communication in the digital age. *Public Management Review*, 24(10), 1553–1577. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2021.1928956>
- [36] World Bank. (2023). World development report 2023: Migrants, refugees, and societies. World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1941-4>
- [37] European Commission. (2024). 2024 report on the state of the digital decade. European Commission. <https://doi.org/10.2759/00000000>
- [38] Meijer, A., & Torenlvied, R. (2023). Digital governance and institutional resilience. *Public Administration Review*, 83(4), 850–863. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13606>
- [39] Bertot, J. C., Estevez, E., & Janowski, T. (2022). Digital public services: Innovation in government. *Government Information Quarterly*, 39(4), 101712. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2022.101712>
- [40] Gil-Garcia, J. R., Helbig, N., & Ojo, A. (2022). Being smart: Emerging technologies and innovation in the public sector. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(S1), 11–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2014.09.001>
- [41] Cordella, A., & Paletti, A. (2023). Government resilience and digital transformation. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(3), 101833. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2023.101833>
- [42] Mergel, I., Ganapati, S., & Whitford, A. B. (2023). Agile government and digital transformation. *Public Management Review*, 25(5), 873–890. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2023.2178100>
- [43] Sun, T. Q., & Medaglia, R. (2024). Artificial intelligence and public sector transformation. *Government Information Quarterly*, 41(1), 101900. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2024.101900>
- [44] Porumbescu, G., Cucciniello, M., & Gil-Garcia, J. R. (2022). Citizen participation and digital government. *Public Administration Review*, 82(6), 1111–1123. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13538>
- [45] Agostino, D., Arnaboldi, M., & Lema, M. D. (2023). New development: Digital technologies and public value creation in government. *Public Money & Management*, 43(2), 180–184. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2020.1764206>
- [46] Chen, C., Xu, X., & Arpan, L. (2023). Between the technology acceptance model and sustainable energy technology acceptance model: Investigating smart meter acceptance in the United States. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 25, 93–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2016.12.010>
- [47] Lodge, M., & Wegrich, K. (2022). The problem-solving capacity of the modern state: Governance challenges and administrative capacities. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198705345.001.0001>

- [48] Schou, J., & Hjelholt, M. (2023). Digitalizing the welfare state: Citizenship discourses in Danish digitalization strategies from 2002 to 2015. *Critical Policy Studies*, 13(1), 3–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19460171.2017.1334932>
- [49] Gil-Garcia, J. R., Dawes, S. S., & Pardo, T. A. (2024). Digital government and public management research: Finding the crossroads. *Public Management Review*, 26(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2017.1350461>

